# PROBING DARK MATTER WITH GRAVITATIONAL WAVES

# **GIOVANNI MARIA TOMASELLI**



UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

# CDM Annual Workshop

Adelaide – November 29, 2023



#### VACUUM WAVEFORMS



- LIGO/Virgo finds binary mergers assuming they happened in vacuum.
- OK for short duration & low mass. What about **future interferometers**?
- Environmental effects can build up over long duration signals.

#### Accretion disk





#### DARK MATTER 'SPIKE'



Black hole growth adiabatically contracts DM halo.

### WHAT ENVIRONMENTS?



$$\rho = \rho_{\rm s} \left(\frac{r_{\rm s}}{r}\right)^{\prime \rm s}$$

#### WHAT ENVIRONMENTS?



6

#### **GRAVITATIONAL ATOM**



$$(\Box - \mu^2)\Phi = 0 \longrightarrow i \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi}{\mathrm{d}t} \approx \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu}\nabla^2 - \frac{\alpha}{r} + \ldots\right)\psi$$

Gravitational fine structure constant:  $\alpha = \mu M \sim \mathcal{O}(0.1)$ .

#### THE SPECTRUM



#### WHAT ENVIRONMENTS?



#### **ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS**

 $\frac{\text{vacuum GR}}{\dot{E}_{\text{binary}} = \dot{E}_{\text{GW}}} + \dot{E}_{\text{envir}}$ 

- $\cdot$  Dynamical friction
- Accretion
- Gas torques
- Orbital resonances

#### **DM Accretion**

# DYNAMICAL FRICTION IS IONIZATION ("PHOTOELECTRIC EFFECT")

Physical mechanism behind dynamical friction in gravitational atoms:





#### ESTIMATE ENVIRONMENT PARAMETERS FROM WAVEFORM

Accretion disk 0,9000 005 0,0015 0,0030 .0<sup>20</sup> 10<sup>25</sup> 10<sup>50</sup>  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0 [M_{\odot}]$  $\log_{10}(\Sigma M^2)[kg/m^2]$ Dark matter 'spike' 0,016 0,008 0,000 0,008 0,016 0.15 0.00 0.15 × 0 × 0 0 10 00 015 000 015  $\rho_6 = \rho_{6.0} [10^{16} \text{ M}_{\odot}/\text{pc}^3]$  $M - M_0 [M_{\odot}]$  $\gamma_s - \gamma_{s,0}$  $\log_{10}(q/q_0)$ Gravitational atom -4 -2 0 2 4 -5 -25 0 25 -8 -4 0 4 8 ò 5 5 ×10<sup>-6</sup> 11 ×10<sup>-6</sup>  $\times 10^{-4}$  $\times 10^{-8}$  $\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{M}_0 [M_{\odot}]$  $\alpha - \alpha_0$  $\epsilon - \epsilon_0$  $q - q_0$ 

[Cole, Bertone, Coogan, Gaggero, Karydas, Kavanagh, Spieksma, GMT 2211.01362 Nature Astronomy]

- GW astronomy can give information about **black hole environments**.
- Interesting scenarios are **dark matter spikes** and **gravitational atoms**.

- Environments can be **measured** and **distinguished**.
- Peculiar signatures in gravitational atoms.

#### Backup

#### IONIZATION PLOT



[Baumann, Bertone, Stout, GMT 2112.14777 PRD, 2206.01212 PRL] 1

#### MOTIVATION



Gravitational waves as probes of weakly-coupled new physics

#### Gravitational perturbation with slowly increasing frequency:



$$i\frac{\mathrm{d}\psi}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu}\nabla^2 - \frac{\alpha}{r} + \underbrace{V_*(R_*,\varphi_*)}_{\text{perturbation}}\right)\psi \quad \longrightarrow \quad \text{QM perturbation theory!}$$

Rich phenomenology: "Rabi oscillations", "photoelectric effect", ...

Gravitational Atoms @ University of Amsterdam & GRAPPA:

H.S. Chia, R. Porto, D. Baumann, G. Bertone, J. Stout, G.M.T., T. Spieksma

"Probing Ultralight Bosons with Binary Black Holes" 1804.03208, PRD

"The Spectra of Gravitational Atoms" 1908.10370, JCAP

"Gravitational Collider Physics" 1912.04932, PRD "Ionization of Gravitational Atoms" 2112.14777, PRD

"Sharp Signals of Boson Clouds in Black Hole Binary Inspirals" 2206.01212, PRL

"Dynamical Friction in Gravitational Atoms" 2305.15460, JCAP

# **RESONANCES ("LANDAU-ZENER TRANSITIONS")**



# **RESONANCES ("LANDAU-ZENER TRANSITIONS")**



19

#### BACKREACTION ON THE ORBIT



#### IONIZATION POWER



With Fermi's Golden Rule we can compute the ionization power:

$$P_{\rm ion} = \frac{M_{\rm c}}{\mu} \sum_{\ell,m} g\Omega \ |\eta^{(g)}|^2 \ \Theta(E_*^{(m)})$$
[Baumann, Bertone, Stout, GMT 2112.14777]

21

#### SHARP FEATURES



 $[|211\rangle, \alpha = 0.2, M_{\rm C}/M = 0.01, q = 10^{-3}]$ 

[Baumann, Bertone, Stout, GMT 2112.14777] 2



#### Backreaction of ionization = **dynamical friction**

#### Dynamical Capture



Soft burst of GWs:

$$\sigma_{\rm GW} = 2\pi M^2 \left(\frac{85\pi}{6\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2/7} q^{2/7} (1+q)^{10/7} v^{-18/7}$$

 $\sigma_{\rm tot} = \sigma_{\rm GW} + \sigma_{\rm cloud}$ 

#### The cloud opens up a new channel for energy loss!

#### **ENERGY LOST**



[GMT, Spieksma, Bertone 2305.15460] 25

#### DYNAMICAL CAPTURE CROSS SECTION



$$\langle E; \ell m | V_*(t, \vec{r}) | n_b \ell_b m_b \rangle = \sum_{\ell_*, m_*} \frac{4\pi \alpha q}{2\ell_* + 1} \underbrace{Y_{\ell_* m_*}(\theta_*, \varphi_*) \times I_r(R_*)}_{P_*(t)} \times I_{\Omega}$$

$$= \sum_g \eta^{(g)} e^{-ig\varphi_*(t)}$$

- *g* is now independent of *m*;
- no (simple) formula for  $\eta^{(g)}$ .

$$P_{\rm ion} = \frac{M_{\rm c}}{\mu} \sum_{\ell,m,g} g\Omega \ |\eta^{(g)}|^2 \ \Theta(E_*^{(m)})$$

#### **IONIZATION PLOT ON ECCENTRIC ORBITS**



# EVOLUTION OF ECCENTRICITY (NO GWS)



#### **EVOLUTION OF ECCENTRICITY**



$$[|211\rangle, \alpha = 0.2, M_{\rm c}/M = 0.01, q = 10^{-3},$$
 equatorial co-rotating]

# EVOLUTION OF ECCENTRICITY (HIGHER MASS)



$$[|211\rangle, \alpha = 0.2, M_c/M = 0.1, q = 10^{-3}, equatorial co-rotating]$$

#### **IONIZATION ON INCLINED ORBITS**



Precession? Evolution of  $\beta$ ?

#### IONIZATION PLOT ON INCLINED ORBITS



#### **IONIZATION PLOT ON INCLINED ORBITS**



No precession! Negligible variation of  $\beta$ .

#### LEVEL MIXING

$$\mathrm{d}\Gamma_{\ell m} = \mathrm{d}E \underbrace{|\eta^{(g)}|^2}_{\text{Level mixing}} \delta(\underbrace{E - E_b - g\Omega(t)}_{E - E_*^{(m)}})$$

Multipole expansion:

$$\langle E; \ell m | V_*(t, \vec{r}) | n_b \ell_b m_b \rangle = \sum_{\ell_*, m_*} \frac{4\pi \alpha q}{2\ell_* + 1} Y_{\ell_* m_*}(\theta_*, \varphi_*) \times I_r(R_*) \times I_{\Omega}$$
$$= \sum_g \eta^{(g)} e^{-ig\Omega t}$$

On equatorial quasi-circular orbits,  $g = \pm (m - m_b)$ .

### Adapting $P_{\rm df}$



$$P_{\rm DF} = \frac{4\pi M_*^2 \rho}{v} \, \log(v \mu b_{\rm max})$$

#### Adapting $P_{df}$



$$P_{\rm DF} = \frac{4\pi M_*^2 \rho}{v} \, \log(v \mu b_{\rm max})$$

Need to fix:  $\rho$ , v,  $b_{max}$ .

#### $P_{\rm ion}$ VS $P_{\rm DF}$ : NUMERICAL



### $P_{\rm ion}$ VS $P_{\rm df}$ : NUMERICAL



#### $P_{\rm ion}$ vs $P_{\rm df}$ : physical arguments

- $P_{\rm ion}/P_{\rm DF}$  roughly independent of the state;
- $P_{\rm ion}/P_{\rm DF}$  independent of the parameters:

$$P_{
m DF} \sim P_{
m ion} = lpha^5 q^2 rac{M_{
m c}}{M} \mathcal{P}(lpha^2 R_*/M)$$

• Same physical interpretation:

$$P_{\rm DF} \sim P_{\rm ion} = \int_{\partial V} T^{0i} \, \mathrm{d}S$$

• What does  $P_{\text{DF}}$  fail to describe?

#### Fermi's Golden Rule



The transition rate (per unit energy) is given by Fermi's Golden Rule:

$$\mathrm{d}\Gamma = \mathrm{d}E \underbrace{|\eta^{(g)}|^2}_{\text{Level mixing}} \delta(\underbrace{E - E_b - g\Omega}_{E - E_*^{(m)}})$$
[Baumann, Bertone, Stout, GMT 2112.14777] 40

#### **DISCONTINUITIES?**

When  $\Omega(t) \approx \Omega_0 + \gamma t$  "hits" the continuum, the deoccupation starts.



41

#### BACKREACTION ON THE ORBIT

Large impact on IMRI, for both co-rotating and counter-rotating orbits.



 $[M=10^4 M_{\odot}, |211\rangle$  , initial:  $R_*=400 M, M_*/M=10^{-3}, M_{\rm c}/M=10^{-2}]$ 

#### KINKS IN THE FREQUENCY

Kinks in the frequency evolution: signature of the cloud!



 $[M = 10^4 M_{\odot}, |211\rangle$ , initial:  $R_* = 400 M$ ,  $M_*/M = 10^{-3}$ ,  $M_c/M = 10^{-2}$ ]

43

#### IONIZATION PLOT ON INCLINED ORBITS

