

Sub-GeV direct detection with superfluid He

Peter Cox The University of Melbourne



Detection regimes

Different regimes depending on coherence length of dark matter:



Detection regimes

Different regimes depending on coherence length of dark matter:



The sub-GeV frontier



Why Helium?

• Low atomic mass
$$E_{\text{recoil}} = \frac{2m_{\chi}^2 v^2}{m_T}$$
 $(m_{\chi} < m_T)$

- Superfluid at cryogenic temperatures
- Readily obtainable and naturally radiopure
- Multiple detectable signals
- Scalable

Nuclear recoil signals

Nuclear recoils in liquid Helium can produce a variety of signals:



Figure: D. McKinsey

Schematic experiment

Proposed experiments have multiple detection channels



Figure: TESSERACT collaboration

Schematic experiment

Proposed experiments have multiple detection channels



Figure: TESSERACT collaboration



Adsorption onto surface amplifies signal



Proposed experiments:

- HeRALD/TESSERACT (transition edge sensors)
- DELight (micro magnetic calorimeters/SQUID)

Realistic, near-term sensitivity competitive with other cryogenic experiments



Sensitivity

Proposed experiments:

- HeRALD/TESSERACT (transition edge sensors)
- DELight (micro magnetic calorimeters)

Realistic, near-term sensitivity competitive with other cryogenic experiments

Ambitious goals to achieve very low thresholds



Collective excitations

Sub-MeV dark matter can only excite collective modes (phonons/rotons)



Figure: arXiv:2108.07275

Collective excitations

'Anomalous' dispersion:

$$\omega(q) \simeq sq(1 + \zeta_A q^2 + \cdots)$$

Cascade decay into lower energy phonons:





Scattering rates

Rate for inelastic scattering that excites collective modes:

$$\frac{dR}{d^3qd\omega} = \frac{\rho_{\chi}V}{(2\pi)^3m_{\chi}} \int d^3v f_{\chi}(\mathbf{v}) \frac{A^2\pi\sigma_{\chi n}}{m_{\chi n}^2} F(q) \,\delta\left(\omega + E'_{DM} - E_{DM}\right) S(\mathbf{q},\omega)$$
DM halo distribution DM model energy conservation target

Scattering rates

Rate for inelastic scattering that excites collective modes:

$$\frac{dR}{d^3qd\omega} = \frac{\rho_{\chi}V}{(2\pi)^3m_{\chi}} \int d^3v f_{\chi}(\mathbf{v}) \frac{A^2\pi\sigma_{\chi n}}{m_{\chi n}^2} F(q) \,\delta\left(\omega + E'_{DM} - E_{DM}\right) S(\mathbf{q},\omega)$$
DM halo distribution DM model energy conservation target

Details of target encoded in *dynamic structure factor*

$$S(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \frac{2\pi}{V} \sum_{f} \left| \langle \Psi_{f} | \int d^{3}x \, e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} n_{4}(\mathbf{x}) | \Psi_{i} \rangle \right|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i} - \omega)$$

Calculated using tools from condensed matter physics or measured in neutron scattering

Peter Cox - University of Melbourne – CDM Annual Workshop 2022

Superfluid Effective Field Theory

Real scalar with U(1) particle number symmetry: $\psi(x)
ightarrow \psi(x) + a$



Figure: arXiv:2108.07275

Superfluid Effective Field Theory

Real scalar with U(1) particle number symmetry: $\psi(x)
ightarrow \psi(x) + a$

Finite density VEV: $\langle \psi(x) \rangle = \underline{\mu}t$

Spontaneously breaks U(1), time translations & boosts (but preserves $H - \mu N$)



Figure: arXiv:2108.07275

Superfluid Effective Field Theory

Real scalar with U(1) particle number symmetry: $\psi(x)
ightarrow \psi(x) + a$

Finite density VEV: $\langle \psi(x) \rangle = \underline{\mu} t$

Spontaneously breaks U(1), time translations & boosts (but preserves $H - \mu N$)



Figure: arXiv:2108.07275

Non-relativistic Goldstone mode:

mode. sound speed

$$S = \int d^4x \,\left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\pi}^2 - \frac{c_s^2}{2}\left(\nabla\pi\right)^2\right) + \mathcal{O}(\pi^3)$$

Superfluid optomechanics for DM

- Sensitive to keV MeV dark matter masses
- Challenge: low rate due to single mode sensitivity



see Glen's talk

Ongoing work: optimise DM sensitivity, take advantage of 2-phonon processes?



Outlook

- Sub-GeV regime still relatively unexplored
- Superfluid He is a promising target, still at R&D stage
- New parameter space can be probed with small scale experiments
- Space for new ideas!

